Two value ranges for symmetric self-mappings of the unit disc

Julia Koch Sebastian Schleißinger *

July 1, 2016

arXiv:1602.05058v3 [math.CV] 29 Jun 2016

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit disc and $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$. We determine the value range $\{f(z_0) \,|\, f \in \mathcal{R}^{\geq}\}$, where $\mathcal{R}^{\geq}$ is the set of holomorphic functions $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) \geq 0$ that have only real coefficients in their power series expansion around 0, and the smaller set $\{f(z_0) \,|\, f \in \mathcal{R}^{\geq}, f \text{ is typically real}\}$. Furthermore, we describe a third value range $\{f(z_0) \,|\, f \in \mathcal{I}\}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ consists of all univalent self-mappings of the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$ with hydrodynamical normalization which are symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis.

Keywords: value ranges, radial Loewner equation, chordal Loewner equation, univalent functions, typically real functions

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C55, 30C80.

1 Introduction

There are many results that determine value regions $V_A(z_0) = \{f(z_0) \,|\, f \in A\}$, where z_0 is a fixed point and f runs through a set A of analytic functions in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ or the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$. In this paper we describe $V_A(z_0)$ for the following cases.

In Section 2, we let A be the set of all univalent self-mappings of $\mathbb{H}$ with hydrodynamical normalization which are symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis. The value set $V_A(z_0)$ can be computed by analysing the reachable set of a certain Loewner equation.

In Section 3, we consider the case where A consists of all typically real mappings $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) > 0$. It turns out that $V_A(z_0)$ is equal to the value set $\{f(z_0) \,|\, f \in A \text{ and } f \text{ is univalent}\}$, which has been determined by Prokhorov in [Pro92]. A univalent self mapping $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) > 0$ is typically real if and only if $f(\overline{z}) = \overline{f(z)}$, i.e. f is symmetric with respect to the real axis. We also consider the smaller set $\{f(z_0) \,|\, f \in A \text{ and } f'(0) = \tau\}$ for fixed $\tau \in (0, 1]$.
Furthermore, we determine $V_A(z_0)$ for the case where A consists of all self-mappings $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) \geq 0$ that have only real coefficients in its power series expansion around 0.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for their time and effort taken to review our manuscript, and for their helpful suggestions.

2 Hydrodynamic normalization

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the set of all univalent, i.e. holomorphic and injective, mappings $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ with hydrodynamic normalization at infinity, i.e.

$$f(z) = z - \frac{c}{z} + \gamma(z), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\operatorname{hcap}(f) := c \geq 0$, which is usually called *half-plane capacity*, and γ satisfies $\angle \lim_{z\to\infty} z \cdot \gamma(z) = 0$.

*Supported by the ERC grant "HEVO - Holomorphic Evolution Equations" no. 277691.

Remark 2.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\mathrm{hcap}(f) = c$. If we transfer f to the unit disc by conjugation by the Cayley transform, then we obtain a function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ having the expansion

$$\tilde{f}(z) = z - \frac{c}{4}(z-1)^3 + \tilde{\gamma}(z),$$

where $\angle \lim_{z\to 1} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}(z)}{(z-1)^3} = 0$.

Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$. From the boundary Schwarz lemma it is clear that $\mathrm{Im}\,(f(z_0)) \geq \mathrm{Im}\,(z_0)$ and $\mathrm{Im}\,(f(z_0)) = \mathrm{Im}\,(z_0)$ if and only if f is the identity. It is quite simple to show that

$$V_{\mathcal{H}}(z_0) = \{w \in \mathbb{H} \,|\, \mathrm{Im}\,(w) > \mathrm{Im}\,(z_0)\} \cup \{z_0\},$$

see [RS14], Theorem 2.4.

Next, let

$$\mathcal{I} = \{f \in \mathcal{H} \,|\, f(-\overline{z}) = -\overline{f(z)} \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{H}\}.$$

$\mathcal{I}$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that the image $f(\mathbb{H})$ is symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$. If $Re\,(z_0) = 0$, then $V_{\mathcal{I}}(z_0) = \{z_0 + it \,|\, t \in [0, \infty)\}$.*
Next, assume $Re\,(z_0) > 0$ and define the two curves $C(z_0)$ and $D(z_0)$ by

$$C(z_0) = \left\{\sqrt{z_0^2 - 2t} \,|\, t \in [0, \infty)\right\} = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{H} \,|\, x \cdot y = Re\,(z_0) Im\,(z_0),\ x \in (0, Re\,(z_0)]\},$$

$$D(z_0) = \left\{z_0 + e^{i \arg(z_0)} \cdot t \,|\, t \in [0, \infty)\right\}.$$

Then, the set $\overline{V_{\mathcal{I}}(z_0)}$ is the closed subset of $\mathbb{H}$ bounded by $C(z_0)$ and $D(z_0)$, and $V_{\mathcal{I}}(z_0) = \{z_0\} \cup \overline{V_{\mathcal{I}}(z_0)} \setminus D(z_0)$.
The case $Re\,(z_0) < 0$ follows from the case $Re\,(z_0) > 0$ by reflection w.r.t the imaginary axis.

The value set $f^{-1}(z_0)$ for the inverse functions is given in a quite similar way.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ and define*

$$V_{\mathcal{I}}^*(z_0) = \{f^{-1}(z_0) \,|\, f \in \mathcal{I}, z_0 \in f(\mathbb{H})\}.$$

If $Re\,(z_0) = 0$, then $V_{\mathcal{I}}^*(z_0) = \{z_0 - it \,|\, t \in [0, Im\,(z_0))\}$.
Next, assume $Re\,(z_0) > 0$ and define the two curves $C^*(z_0)$ and $D^*(z_0)$ by

$$C^*(z_0) = \left\{\sqrt{z_0^2 + 2t} \,|\, t \in [0, \infty)\right\} = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{H} \,|\, x \cdot y = Re\,(z_0) Im\,(z_0),\ x \in [Re\,(z_0), \infty)\},$$

$$D^*(z_0) = \left\{z_0 - e^{i \arg(z_0)} \cdot t \,|\, t \in [0, |z_0|)\right\}.$$

Then, the closure $\overline{V_{\mathcal{I}}^*(z_0)}$ is the closed subset of $\mathbb{H}$ bounded by the curves $C^*(z_0)$, $D^*(z_0)$ and the positive real axis. The set $V_{\mathcal{I}}^*(z_0)$ is given by $V_{\mathcal{I}}^*(z_0) = \{z_0\} \cup \overline{V_{\mathcal{I}}^*(z_0)} \setminus (D^*(z_0) \cup [0, \infty))$.
The case $Re\,(z_0) < 0$ follows from the case $Re\,(z_0) > 0$ by reflection w.r.t the imaginary axis.

Figure 1 shows the curves $C(1+i)$ and $D(1+i)$ (dashed), as well as $C^*(1+i)$ and $D^*(1+i)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Without loss of generality we may assume that $z_0 \in Q_1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \mathrm{Re}\, z \geq 0, \mathrm{Im}\, z > 0\}$.

Now consider the chordal Loewner equation

$$\dot{f}_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{a - f_t(z)}\, \alpha_t(da) \text{ for a.e. } t \geq 0, \quad f_0(z) = z \in \mathbb{H}, \tag{2.2}$$

where α_t is a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ for every $t \geq 0$, and the function $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{a-z}\, \alpha_t(da)$ is measurable for every $z \in \mathbb{H}$. For every $f \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists $T > 0$ and such a family $\{\alpha_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ of probability measures such that the solution $\{f_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ of (2.2) satisfies $f_T = f$; see [GB92], Theorem 5.

Now let $f_T = f \in \mathcal{I}$. Then we can find a solution $\{f_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ such that $f_t \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, which means that α_t can be written as $\alpha_t = 1/2\mu_t^* + 1/2\mu_t$, where μ_t is a probability measure supported



Figure 1: $V_{\mathcal{I}}(1+i)$ and $V^*_{\mathcal{I}}(1+i)$.

on $[0,\infty)$ and μ_t^* is the reflection of μ_t to $(-\infty,0]$.
This leads to the symmetric Loewner equation

$$\dot{f}_t(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1/2}{a - f_t(z)}\,\mu_t(da) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1/2}{-a - f_t(z)}\,\mu_t(da) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f_t(z)}{a^2 - f_t(z)^2}\,\mu_t(da) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f_t(z)}{u - f_t(z)^2}\,\nu_t(du), \tag{2.3}$$

where we put $u = a^2 \in [0,\infty)$ and $\nu_t(A) = \mu_t(\sqrt{A})$, $\nu_t(B) = 0$ for Borel sets $A \subset [0,\infty)$ and $B \subset (-\infty, 0)$.

Thus we can consider the initial value problem

$$\dot{w}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{w(t)}{u - w(t)^2}\,\nu_t(du), \quad w(0) = z_0 \in \mathbb{H}, \tag{2.4}$$

and have

$$V_{\mathcal{I}}(z_0) = \{w(T) \,|\, w(t) \text{ solves } (2.4), T \geq 0\}. \tag{2.5}$$

Next, we observe that the set $\mathcal{I}_S := \{f \in \mathcal{I} \,|\, f(Q_1) = Q_1 \setminus \gamma \text{ for a simple curve } \gamma\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{I}$ by a standard argument for univalent functions; see [Dur83, Section 3.2].
Denote by δ_x the Dirac measure in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $f \in \mathcal{I}_S$, then we can find a continuous function $U : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that the measure $\nu_t = \delta_{U(t)}$ in (2.3) generates f, i.e. $f_T = f$; see Chapter I.3.8 in [Tam78], which considers the unit disc and the corresponding symmetric radial Loewner equation; see also [Gor86, §3], [Gor15, §5,6].

Consider the corresponding initial value problem

$$\dot{w}(t) = \frac{w(t)}{U(t) - w(t)^2}, \quad w(0) = z_0 \in \mathbb{H}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $U : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is a continuous function. Denote by $R(z_0)$ the reachable set of this equation, i.e. $R(z_0) := \{w(T) \,|\, w(t) \text{ solves } (2.6), T \geq 0\}$. Then $V_{\mathcal{I}_S}(z_0) \subset R(z_0)$ and because of the denseness of $\mathcal{I}_S$ in $\mathcal{I}$, we have

$$\overline{R(z_0)} = \overline{V_{\mathcal{I}}(z_0)}. \tag{2.7}$$

Now we determine the set $V_{\mathcal{I}}(z_0)$.

If $\operatorname{Re}(z_0) = 0$, it is clear that $w(t) \in \{z_0 + is \,|\, s \in [0,\infty)\}$ for all $t \in [0,\infty)$. The solution to (2.6) for $U(t) \equiv 0$ is given by $w_1(t) = \sqrt{z_0^2 - 2t}$. As $\operatorname{Im}(w_1(t)) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, we conclude that $V_{\mathcal{I}}(z_0) = \{z_0 + it \,|\, t \in [0,\infty)\}$.

Now assume that $\operatorname{Re}(z_0) > 0$.

Step 1: First, we determine $R(z_0)$. We write $w(t) = \xi(t) + i\eta(t)$ and $z_0 = \xi_0 + i\eta_0$; thus (2.6) reads

$$\dot{\xi}(t) = \frac{\xi(t)(U(t) - |w(t)|^2)}{|U(t) - w(t)^2|^2}, \qquad \dot{\eta}(t) = \frac{\eta(t)(U(t) + |w(t)|^2)}{|U(t) - w(t)^2|^2}, \qquad \xi(0) = \xi_0, \quad \eta(0) = \eta_0.$$

As $t \mapsto \eta(t)$ is strictly increasing, we can parametrize ξ and U by η and obtain

$$\frac{d\xi}{d\eta} = \frac{\xi(\eta)}{\eta} \frac{U(\eta) - |w(\eta)|^2}{U(\eta) + |w(\eta)|^2}. \tag{2.8}$$

Since $U(\eta) \geq 0$, we have

$$-1 \leq \frac{U(\eta) - |w(\eta)|^2}{U(\eta) + |w(\eta)|^2} < 1$$

which yields the inequalities

$$\frac{-\xi}{\eta} \leq \frac{d\xi}{d\eta} < \frac{\xi}{\eta}.$$

By solving the equations $\frac{d\xi'}{d\eta} = -\frac{\xi'}{\eta}$ and $\frac{d\xi'}{d\eta} = \frac{\xi'}{\eta}$ with $\xi'(\eta_0) = \xi_0$, we arrive at

$$\frac{\eta_0}{\eta(t)} \leq \frac{\xi(t)}{\xi_0} < \frac{\eta(t)}{\eta_0} \tag{2.9}$$

for all $t > 0$. We have equality for the left case when $U(t) \equiv 0$, which leads to the solution $w(t) = \sqrt{z_0^2 - 2t}$, i.e. the curve $C(z_0)$. The case $\frac{\xi}{\xi_0} = \frac{\eta}{\eta_0}$ corresponds to the curve $D(z_0)$, which does not belong to the set $R(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$.

On the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, the two curves $\hat{C}(z_0) = C(z_0) \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\hat{D}(z_0) = D(z_0) \cup \{\infty\}$ intersect at z_0 and ∞, and form the boundary of two Jordan domains. We denote by $J(z_0)$ the closure of the one that is contained in $\mathbb{H} \cup \{\infty\}$. Note that $R(z_0) \subset J(z_0)$ by (2.9). We wish to show that $R(z_0) = \{z_0\} \cup J(z_0) \setminus \hat{D}(z_0)$.

To this end, consider (2.8) with the driving term

$$U(\eta) = \frac{1+x}{1-x} \left(\xi_0 \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta_0} \right)^{2x} + \eta^2 \right), \quad -1 \leq x < 1.$$

This yields

$$\frac{U(\eta) - |w(\eta)|^2}{U(\eta) + |w(\eta)|^2} \equiv x,$$

and thus

$$\xi(\eta) = \xi_0 \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta_0} \right)^x,$$

and it is easy to see that

$$J(z_0) \setminus \hat{D}(z_0) = \left\{ \xi_0 \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta_0} \right)^x \;\middle|\; \eta \in [0, \infty),\ -1 \leq x < 1 \right\} \subseteq R(z_0).$$

Step 2: Finally, we show that $V_{\mathcal{I}}(z_0) = \{z_0\} \cup J(z_0) \setminus \hat{D}(z_0)$, which concludes the proof.
As we already know that $\overline{R(z_0)} = \overline{V_{\mathcal{I}}(z_0)}$ (equation (2.7)), we only need to prove that $\hat{D}(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$ has empty intersection with $V_{\mathcal{I}}(z_0)$.
Recall (2.5) and let $w(t)$ be a solution to (2.4). We write again $w(t) = \xi(t) + i\eta(t)$. Then

$$\dot{\xi} = \xi(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u - |w(t)|^2}{|u - w(t)^2|^2} \, \mu_t(du) < \xi(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u + |w(t)|^2}{|u - w(t)^2|^2} \, \mu_t(du), \qquad \dot{\eta} = \eta(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u + |w(t)|^2}{|u - w(t)^2|^2} \, \mu_t(du).$$

Again, $t \mapsto \eta(t)$ is strictly increasing, and we parametrize ξ by η to get

$$\frac{d\xi}{d\eta} < \frac{\xi}{\eta},$$

which yields $\frac{\xi(t)}{\xi_0} < \frac{\eta(t)}{\eta_0}$ for all $t > 0$, hence $(D(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}) \cap V_{\mathcal{I}}(z_0) = \emptyset$.

□

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is completely analogous.

3 Interior normalization

3.1 Univalent self-mappings with real coefficients

The classical Schwarz lemma tells us that a holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ with $f(0) = 0$ has the property $|f(z)| \leq |z|$ for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$. A refinement of this result was obtained by Rogosinski [Rog34] (see also [Dur83], p. 200) who determined the value range $V_A(z_0)$ where $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ and A consists of all holomorphic self-mappings f of $\mathbb{D}$ that fix the origin and $f'(0) > 0$. In [RS14] the authors consider the set of all univalent self-mappings of $\mathbb{D}$ with the same normalization, i.e.

$$A = \mathcal{S}_> := \{f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D} \text{ univalent}, f(0) = 0, f'(0) > 0\}.$$

One can obtain a refinement of the main result of [RS14] by considering the normalization $f'(0) = e^{-T}$, $T > 0$, instead of $f'(0) > 0$; see [KS16].

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}_>$ in $\mathbb{D}$ having only real coefficients in their Taylor expansion around the origin. The following result has been proven in [Pro92]. The proof uses Pontryagin's maximum principle, which is applied to the radial Loewner equation.
An elementary proof of the theorem is given in [Pfr16].

Theorem 3.1 ([Pro92])**.** *Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$.*
If $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, then $V_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0) \cup \{0\}$ is the closed interval with endpoints 0 and z_0.
Define the two curves $C_+(z_0)$ and $C_-(z_0)$ by

$$C_+(z_0) := \left\{ \frac{1}{2z_0}(e^t(z_0+1)^2 - 2z_0 - e^{t/2}(z_0+1)\sqrt{e^t(z_0+1)^2 - 4z_0}) \,|\, t \in [0,\infty] \right\},$$
$$C_-(z_0) := \left\{ \frac{1}{2z_0}(e^t(z_0-1)^2 + 2z_0 + e^{t/2}(z_0-1)\sqrt{e^t(z_0-1)^2 + 4z_0}) \,|\, t \in [0,\infty] \right\}.$$

If $z_0 \notin \mathbb{R}$, then $V_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0) \cup \{0\}$ is the closed region whose boundary consists of the two curves $C_+(z_0)$ and $C_-(z_0)$, which only intersect at $t \in \{0, \infty\}$.

Furthermore, for $z_0 \notin \mathbb{R}$, any boundary point of $V_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0)$ except 0 can be reached by only one mapping $f \in \mathcal{R}$, which is of the form $f_{1,t}(z) = \frac{1}{2z}(e^t(z+1)^2 - 2z - e^{t/2}(z+1)\sqrt{e^t(z+1)^2 - 4z})$ or $f_{2,t}(z) = \frac{1}{2z}(e^t(z-1)^2 + 2z + e^{t/2}(z-1)\sqrt{e^t(z-1)^2 + 4z})$ with $t \in [0,\infty)$.
The mapping $f_{1,t}$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto $\mathbb{D} \setminus [2e^t - 1 - 2e^{t/2}\sqrt{e^t - 1}, 1]$ and $f_{2,t}$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto $\mathbb{D} \setminus [-1, -2e^t + 1 + 2e^{t/2}\sqrt{e^t - 1}]$.

Remark 3.2. For $\tau \in (0,1]$ let $\mathcal{U}(\tau) = \{f \in \mathcal{U} \,|\, f'(0) = \tau\}$. The value set $V_{\mathcal{U}(\tau)}$ is described in [PS16].

The value set for the inverse functions can be obtained quite similarly.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and define*

$$V^*_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0) = \{f^{-1}(z_0) \,|\, f \in \mathcal{U}, z_0 \in f(\mathbb{D})\}.$$

If $z_0 \in (0,1)$, then $V^*_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0) = [z_0, 1)$, and if $z_0 \in (-1,0)$, then $V^*_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0) = (-1, z_0]$.
Define the two curves $C^*_+(z_0)$ and $C^*_-(z_0)$ by

$$C^*_+(z_0) := \left\{ \frac{1}{2z_0}(e^t(z_0+1)^2 - 2z_0 - e^{t/2}(z_0+1)\sqrt{e^t(z_0+1)^2 - 4z_0}) \,|\, t \in [-\infty,0] \right\},$$
$$C^*_-(z_0) := \left\{ \frac{1}{2z_0}(e^t(z_0-1)^2 + 2z_0 + e^{t/2}(z_0-1)\sqrt{e^t(z_0-1)^2 + 4z_0}) \,|\, t \in [-\infty,0] \right\}.$$

Now let $Im(z_0) > 0$. Then $\overline{V_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0)}$ is the closed region bounded by the curves $C_+(z_0)$, $C_-(z_0)$ and $E := \partial\mathbb{D} \cap \overline{\mathbb{H}}$. The set $V_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0)$ is given by $V_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0) = \overline{V_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0)} \setminus E$.

Figure 2 shows the set $V_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0)$ (orange), which lies inside the heart-shaped set $V_{\mathcal{S}_>}(z_0)$ (blue) that is determined in [RS14], and the set $V^*_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0)$ (red, dashed) for $z_0 = 0.9e^{i\pi/4}$.



Figure 2: $V_{\mathcal{U}}(0.9e^{i\pi/4})$

3.2 Bounded typically real mappings

Following Rogosinski [Rog32], a holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ is called *typically real* if

$$\mathrm{Im}\,(f(z))\mathrm{Im}\,(z) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Now we define $\mathcal{T}$ as the set of all typically real self-mappings $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) > 0$. Obviously, $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{T}$.
From Rogosinski's work one immediately obtains an integral representation for typically real mappings, see also [Rob35], Section 2. In order to determine the value region $V_{\mathcal{T}}(z_0)$, we will need the following integral representation for bounded typically real mappings.

Theorem 3.4 ([SS82], Theorem 2.2)**.** *Let $f \in \mathcal{T}$ with $f'(0) = \tau > 0$. Then there exists a probability measure μ supported on $B := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -1 \leq x \leq 2\tau - 1 \leq y \leq 1\}$ such that*

$$f(z) = \frac{\sqrt{g_{\mu,\tau}(z)} - 1}{\sqrt{g_{\mu,\tau}(z)} + 1},$$

where we take the holomorphic branch of the square root with $\sqrt{1} = 1$ and

$$g_{\mu,\tau}(z) = \int_B \frac{(1+z)^2(1 - 2(1 - 2\tau + x + y)z + z^2)}{(1 - 2xz + z^2)(1 - 2yz + z^2)}\,\mu(dxdy).$$

Remark 3.5. In order to show $\mathcal{U} \subsetneq \mathcal{T}$ we find a function $f_0 \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{U}$ as follows: Let $\tau = 1/2$ and let μ be the point measure in $(\tau - 1, \tau)$. Then we obtain

$$f_0(z) = \frac{\sqrt{((1+z)^2(1+z^2))/(1+z^2+z^4)} - 1}{\sqrt{((1+z)^2(1+z^2))/(1+z^2+z^4)} + 1}.$$

The derivative $f_0'(z)$ has a zero at $z = -(1/4) + (i\sqrt{3})/4 + 1/2\sqrt{-(9/2) - (i\sqrt{3})/2} \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence, $f_0 \notin \mathcal{U}$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\tau \in (0, 1]$. Define $\mathcal{T}(\tau) := \{f \in \mathcal{T} \mid f'(0) = \tau\}$.
The set $V_{\mathcal{T}(\tau)}(z_0)$ is the image of the closed region bounded by the two circular arcs*

$$\left\{1 + \frac{4\tau z_0}{1 - 2yz_0 + z_0^2} \mid y \in [2\tau - 1, 1]\right\} \text{ and } \left\{\frac{(z_0+1)^2(1 + z_0(-4 + 4\tau - 2x + z_0))}{(z_0 - 1)^2(1 - 2xz_0 + z_0^2)} \mid x \in [-1, 2\tau - 1]\right\}$$

under the map $w \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{w}-1}{\sqrt{w}+1}$.

Proof. Fix some $\tau > 0$. First we show that the set $A(\tau) := \{g_{\mu,\tau}(z_0) \mid \mu \text{ is a point measure on } B\}$ is convex. To this end, we evaluate the function $(x, y) \mapsto s(x, y) := \frac{(1+z)^2(1-2(1-2\tau+x+y)z+z^2)}{(1-2xz+z^2)(1-2yz+z^2)}$ on ∂B :

(i) $s(x,y) = \frac{(1+z_0)^2}{(z_0+1)^2-4\tau z_0}$ when $x = 2\tau - 1$.

(ii) $s(x,y) = \frac{(1+z_0)^2}{(z_0+1)^2-4\tau z_0}$ when $y = 2\tau - 1$.

(iii) $s(x,y) = 1 + \frac{4\tau z_0}{1-2yz_0+z_0^2}$ when $x = -1$.

(iv) $s(x,y) = \frac{(z_0+1)^2(1+z_0(-4+4\tau-2x+z_0))}{(z_0-1)^2(1-2xz_0+z_0^2)}$ when $y = 1$.

We see that $s(\partial B)$ consists of two circular arcs connecting the points

$$P(\tau) = \frac{(1+z_0)^2}{(1+z_0)^2 - 4\tau z_0} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(\tau) = 1 + \frac{4\tau z_0}{1-2z_0+z_0^2}, \tag{3.1}$$

and the two arcs are given by

$$\begin{aligned} s_{1,\tau} &: [2\tau-1, 1] \to \mathbb{C}, \quad s_{1,\tau}(y) := 1 + \frac{4\tau z_0}{1-2yz_0+z_0^2}, \\ s_{2,\tau} &: [-1, 2\tau-1] \to \mathbb{C}, \quad s_{2,\tau}(x) := \frac{(z_0+1)^2(1+z_0(-4+4\tau-2x+z_0))}{(z_0-1)^2(1-2xz_0+z_0^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality we restrict to the case $\mathrm{Im}\,(z_0) \geq 0$.
A short calculations shows that then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dy} \arg \frac{d}{dy} s(x,y) &= 4\mathrm{Im}\, z_0 \frac{1-|z_0|^2}{|1-2yz_0+z_0^2|^2} \geq 0, \\ \frac{d}{dx} \arg \frac{d}{dx} s(x,y) &= 4\mathrm{Im}\, z_0 \frac{1-|z_0|^2}{|1-2xz_0+z_0^2|^2} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_x(x,y) &:= \frac{d}{dx} s(x,y) = \frac{4(2\tau-1-y)z^2(1+z)^2}{(1-2xz+z^2)^2(1-2yz+z^2)}, \\ s_y(x,y) &:= \frac{d}{dy} s(x,y) = \frac{4(2\tau-1-x)z^2(1+z)^2}{(1-2yz+z^2)^2(1-2xz+z^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\mathrm{Im}\, \frac{s_x(x,y)}{s_y(x,y)} = \frac{2(y-(2\tau-1))}{2\tau-1-x} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-2xz+z^2|^2} \mathrm{Im}\,(z) \cdot (y-x) \geq 0 \tag{3.2}$$

for all $(x,y) \in B$.
This shows that any parallel to either the x- or the y-axis within B is mapped onto a convex curve, and that whenever we map a path that points inwards in B, the image also lies in the interior of $s(\partial B)$. Therefore, the convex closure of $A(\tau)$ is equal to the set $W(\tau)$ defined as the compact region bounded by the curves $s_{1,\tau}$ and $s_{2,\tau}$.
From Theorem 3.4 it follows that $V_{\mathcal{T}(\tau)}(z_0)$ is the image of $K(\tau) := \{g_{\mu,\tau}(z_0) \,|\, \mu \text{ prob. meas. on } B\}$ under the map $w \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{w}-1}{\sqrt{w}+1}$. The set $K(\tau)$ is the closure of the convex hull of $A(\tau)$, i.e. $K(\tau) = W(\tau)$, which concludes the proof.

□

Figure 3 shows the set $V_{\mathcal{T}(\tau)}(z_0)$ for $z_0 = 0.9e^{i\pi/4}$ and $\tau = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9$ (shaded regions).



Figure 3: $V_{\mathcal{T}(\tau)}(z_0)$.

Corollary 3.7. Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $V_{\mathcal{T}}(z_0) = V_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0)$.
Furthermore, if $z_0 \notin \mathbb{R}$, then each $w \in \partial V_{\mathcal{T}}(z_0)$ except 0 can be reached by only one mapping $f \in \mathcal{T}$, which is of the form $f_{1,t}$ or $f_{2,t}$ from Theorem 3.1.

Proof. Again, we denote by $W(\tau)$ the image of $V_{\mathcal{T}(\tau)}(z_0)$ under the map $z \mapsto (1+z)^2/(1-z)^2$, the inverse function of $w \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{w}-1}{\sqrt{w}+1}$, which is the convex region bounded by the circular arcs $s_{1,\tau}(y)$, $y \in [2\tau - 1, 1]$, and $s_{2,\tau}(x)$, $x \in [-1, 2\tau - 1]$.
Consider the convex region R bounded by the circular arc

$$C := \{P(\tau) \,|\, \tau \in [0,1]\} \text{ and the line segment } L := \{Q(\tau) \,|\, \tau \in [0,1]\}\,, \qquad (*)$$

where $Q(\tau)$ and $P(\tau)$ are defined as in (3.1).

Fix $\tau \in (0,1]$. To show that $W(\tau)$ is contained in R, assume the opposite. Then the boundary of $W(\tau)$ has to intersect either C or L in some other point besides $P(\tau)$ and $Q(\tau)$. However, it is easy to see that each of the following four equations

$$\begin{aligned} s_{1,\tau}(y) &= P(t), \quad y,t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ s_{1,\tau}(y) &= Q(t), \quad y,t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ s_{2,\tau}(x) &= P(t), \quad x,t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ s_{2,\tau}(x) &= Q(t), \quad x,t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

has only one solution, namely $(y,t) = (1,\tau)$, $(y,t) = (2\tau-1,\tau)$, $(x,t) = (-1,\tau)$, and $(x,t) = (2\tau-1,\tau)$, respectively. (In all four cases, the second intersection point between the circles/lines is given by the limit cases $y \to \infty$ and $x \to \infty$ respectively.)

Hence, we have $W(\tau) \subset R$ for every $\tau \in (0,1]$. Finally, it is clear that every point contained in $R \setminus \{1\}$ (note that $P(0) = Q(0) = 1$) is contained in some $W(\tau)$: since every $W(\tau)$ is convex, the line segment between $P(\tau)$ and $Q(\tau)$ is always contained in $W(\tau)$.
Consequently, $\cup_{\tau\in(0,1]} W(\tau) = R \setminus \{1\}$.

Now we apply the function $z \mapsto (1+z)^2/(1-z)^2$, the inverse function of $w \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{w}-1}{\sqrt{w}+1}$, to the curves $C_+(z_0)$ and $C_-(z_0)$ from Theorem 3.1 and we obtain the curves

$$\left\{ \frac{(1+z_0)^2}{(1+z_0)^2 - 4e^{-t}z_0} \,|\, t \in [0,\infty] \right\} \text{ and } \left\{ 1 + \frac{4e^{-t}z_0}{(z_0-1)^2} \,|\, t \in [0,\infty] \right\},$$

which are the very same curves as $(*)$. Thus, we conclude that $V_{\mathcal{T}}(z_0) = V_{\mathcal{U}}(z_0)$.

Finally, assume $z_0 \notin \mathbb{R}$ and let $w \in \partial V_{\mathcal{T}}(z_0) \setminus \{0\}$. Then $w = P(\tau)$ or $w = Q(\tau)$ for a unique $\tau \in (0,1]$ and the proof of Theorem 3.6 shows that there is only one mapping $f \in V_{\mathcal{T}(\tau)}(z_0)$ with $f(z_0) = w$. From Theorem 3.1 it follows that f is of the form $f_{1,t}$ or $f_{2,t}$. □

3.3 Real coefficients

Finally we take a look at one further value region, determined by Rogosinski in [Rog34], p.111: Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the set of all holomorphic functions $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ with $f(0) = 0$ that have only real coefficients in the power series expansion around 0. Then $V_{\mathcal{R}}(z_0)$ is the intersection of the two closed discs whose boundaries are the circles through $1, z_0, -z_0$ and through $-1, -z_0, z_0$ respectively.

Let $\mathcal{R}^{\geq}$ be the set of all holomorphic functions $f \in \mathcal{R}$ with $f'(0) \geq 0$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Then we have

$$V_{\mathcal{T}}(z_0) \subset V_{\mathcal{R}^{\geq}}(z_0) \subset V_{\mathcal{R}}(z_0).$$

It is clear that $V_{\mathcal{R}^{\geq}}(z_0) \neq V_{\mathcal{R}}(z_0)$ as the point $-z_0$ belongs to $V_{\mathcal{R}}(z_0)$ and there is only one mapping $f \in \mathcal{R}(z_0)$ with $f(z_0) = -z_0$, namely $f(z) = -z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.
Furthermore, if $z_0 \notin \mathbb{R}$, we have $V_{\mathcal{T}}(z_0) \subsetneq V_{\mathcal{R}^{\geq}}(z_0)$ which can be seen as follows: The boundary points of $V_{\mathcal{R}}(z_0)$ can be reached only by the functions $z \mapsto \pm z\frac{z-x}{zx-1}$, $x \in [-1, 1]$, see [Rog34], p.111. Hence, by Corollary 3.7 we have $\partial V_{\mathcal{T}}(z_0) \cap \partial V_{\mathcal{R}}(z_0) = \{z_0\}$. For $0 < x < 1$, the function $f(z) = z\frac{z-x}{zx-1}$ satisfies $f'(0) = x > 0$ and $f(z_0) \neq z_0$. This gives us $z_0\frac{z_0-x}{z_0x-1} \in V_{\mathcal{R}^{\geq}}(z_0) \setminus V_{\mathcal{T}}(z_0)$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}\setminus\{0\}$. Then $V_{\mathcal{R}^{\geq}}(z_0)$ is the closed convex region bounded by the following three curves:*

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left\{ z_0\frac{z_0 - x}{z_0x - 1} \,\middle|\, x \in [0,1] \right\}, \quad B = \left\{ z_0\frac{z_0 + x}{z_0x + 1} \,\middle|\, x \in [0,1] \right\}, \\ C &= \left\{ \frac{z_0^2(z_0 + 2x - 1)}{1 + 2xz_0 - z_0} \,\middle|\, x \in [0,1] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}^{\geq}$. Then $g(z) := (1 + f(z))/(1 - f(z))$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ into the right half-plane with $g(0) = 1$, g has only real coefficients in its power series expansion around 0 and $g'(0) = 2f'(0) \geq 0$. Due to the Herglotz representation ([Dur83], Section 1.9) we can write g as

$$g(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{u + z}{u - z}\,\nu(du), \tag{3.3}$$

for some probability measure ν on $\partial\mathbb{D}$.
As g, and thus ν, is symmetric with respect to the real axis, one can rewrite (3.3) as

$$g(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} 1/2\frac{u + z}{u - z} + 1/2\frac{\overline{u} + z}{\overline{u} - z}\,\nu(du) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1 - z^2}{1 - 2z\mathrm{Re}(u) + z^2}\,\nu(du), \tag{3.4}$$

or

$$g(z) = G_\mu(z) := \int_{[0,\pi]} \frac{1 - z^2}{1 - 2z\cos(u) + z^2}\,\mu(du), \tag{3.5}$$

where μ is a probability measure on $[0, \pi]$ that additionally fulfils

$$\int_{[0,\pi]} \cos(u)\,\mu(du) \geq 0, \tag{3.6}$$

as the last integral is equal to $g'(0)/2 = f'(0)$. Thus we need to determine the extreme points of the convex set of all measures on $[0, \pi]$ that satisfy (3.6). According to [Win88], Theorem 2.1, this set of extreme points is contained in the set S that consists of all point measures $\mu = \delta_\phi$ on $[0, \pi]$ satisfying (3.6) and of all convex combinations $\mu = \lambda\delta_\phi + (1 - \lambda)\delta_\varphi$, with $\phi, \varphi \in [0, \pi]$, $\phi \neq \varphi$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, satisfying (3.6).

We can now determine $V_{\mathcal{R}^{\geq}}(z_0)$ as follows: Denote by $W_{\mathcal{R}^{\geq}}(z_0)$ the image of $V_{\mathcal{R}^{\geq}}(z_0)$ under the injective map $w \mapsto (1 + w)/(1 - w)$. Then $W_{\mathcal{R}^{\geq}}(z_0)$ is the closure of the convex hull of the set $\{G_\mu(z_0) \,|\, \mu \in S\}$.

The point measures from S are, of course, all δ_ϕ with $\phi \in [0, \pi/2]$, and (3.5) gives us the curve

$$\frac{1 - z_0^2}{1 - 2z_0x + z_0^2}, \quad x \in [0, 1], \tag{3.7}$$

a circular arc connecting the points $\frac{1-z_0^2}{1+z_0^2}$ and $\frac{1+z_0}{1-z_0}$. This curve is the image of A under the map $w \mapsto \frac{1+w}{1-w}$. The image of B is the line segment

$$\frac{1+2xz_0+z_0^2}{1-z_0^2}, \quad x \in [0,1], \tag{3.8}$$

which connects $\frac{1+z_0^2}{1-z_0^2}$ to $\frac{1+z_0}{1-z_0}$.

The other measures have the form $\lambda\delta_\phi + (1-\lambda)\delta_\varphi$ with w.l.o.g. $\phi \in [0,\pi/2]$, $\varphi \in [0,\pi]$, $\phi \neq \varphi$, $\lambda \in (0,1)$ such that $\lambda\cos(\phi) + (1-\lambda)\cos(\varphi) \geq 0$. They lead to the set

$$\lambda\frac{1-z_0^2}{1-2z_0x+z_0^2} + (1-\lambda)\frac{1-z_0^2}{1-2z_0y+z_0^2}, \quad x \in [0,1], y \in [-1,1], \lambda \in (0,1), \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \geq 0. \tag{3.9}$$

If we take $x = 1$, $y \in [-1,0]$ and $\lambda = \frac{y}{y-1}$ (which is equivalent to $\lambda + (1-\lambda)y = 0$), then we obtain

$$\frac{(1+z_0)(1-2(1+y)z_0+z_0^2)}{(1-z_0)(1-2yz_0+z_0^2)}. \tag{3.10}$$

The above expression describes a circular arc connecting the points $\frac{1+z_0^2}{1-z_0^2}$ and $\frac{1-z_0^2}{1+z_0^2}$, and this arc is the image of the curve C under the map $w \mapsto \frac{1+w}{1-w}$. Note that the point $\frac{1+z_0}{1-z_0}$ lies on the full circle, which is obtained when $y \to \infty$.
Denote by Δ the closed bounded region bounded by the three curves (3.7), (3.8) and (3.10). Then Δ is convex. We are done if we can show that for all other measures from (3.9), $G_\mu(z_0)$ belongs to Δ. Then we can conclude that Δ is the closure of the convex hull of $\{G_\mu(z_0) \,|\, \mu \in S\}$.

First, we consider the points from (3.9) for $x \in [0,1]$, $y \in [-1,0]$ and again $\lambda = \frac{y}{y-x}$:

a) For $y = 1$, we obtain the curve

$$-\frac{(-1+z_0)(1+z_0(2-2x+z_0))}{(1+z_0)(1-2xz_0+z_0^2)}, \quad x \in [0,1]. \tag{3.11}$$

Like the curve (3.10), this arc also connects $\frac{1+z_0^2}{1-z_0^2}$ and $\frac{1-z_0^2}{1+z_0^2}$. For $x \to \infty$ we obtain $\frac{1-z_0}{1+z_0}$, which is the second intersection point of the full circles corresponding to (3.7) and (3.8) (note that this point is mapped onto $-z_0$ under $w \mapsto \frac{1+w}{1-w}$). We conclude that (3.11) is contained in Δ. The convex set bounded by (3.10) and (3.11) will be denoted by Δ_0, and is, of course, contained in Δ. (Figure 5 shows the image of Δ_0 under the map $w \mapsto \frac{1+w}{1-w}$.)

b) Now fix $x \in [0,1)$ and (3.9) becomes

$$\frac{(-1+z_0^2)(1-2(x+y)z_0+z_0^2)}{(-1+2xz_0-z_0^2)(1-2yz_0+z_0^2)}, \quad y \in [0,1]. \tag{3.12}$$

This set is a circular arc connecting $\frac{1-z_0^2}{1+z_0^2}$ ($y = 0$) to a point on (3.11) ($y = 1$). For $y \to \infty$ we obtain the point $\frac{1-z_0^2}{1-2xz_0+z_0^2}$, which lies on (3.7) and is not contained in Δ_0. We conclude that the arc (3.12) lies within Δ_0 and thus in Δ.

Finally, assume there are $x \in [0,1], y \in [-1,1], \lambda \in (0,1)$ such that $\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y > 0$ (i.e. $G'_\mu(0) > 0$) and the corresponding point (3.9) lies outside Δ. Since it has nevertheless to lie in $V_{\mathcal{R}}(z_0)$, the line segment between this point and $\frac{1+z_0}{1-z_0}$ must intersect the curve (3.10). Thus, the set $\{f(z_0) \,|\, f \in \mathcal{R}, f'(0) > 0\}$, which doesn't contain the curve C, could not be convex, a contradiction.

□

The sets $V_{\mathcal{T}}(z_0)$ (orange), $V_{\mathcal{R}^\geq}(z_0)$ (red) and $V_{\mathcal{R}}(z_0)$ (green) are shown in Figure 4 for $z_0 = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{i}{2}$.



Figure 4: $V_{\mathcal{T}}(z_0), V_{\mathcal{R}^{\geq}}(z_0), V_{\mathcal{R}}(z_0)$.



Figure 5: Δ_0.

Corollary 3.9. Let $\mathcal{R}^0 = \{f \in \mathcal{R}^{\geq} \mid f'(0) = 0\}$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $V_{\mathcal{R}^0}(z_0) = \Delta_0$, i.e. $V_{\mathcal{R}^0}(z_0)$ is the closed convex set bounded by the circular arcs C and $-C$, which intersect at z_0^2 and $-z_0^2$.

Proof. We can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.8. Condition (3.6) then has to be replaced by

$$\int_{[0,\pi]} \cos(u)\, \mu(du) = 0, \text{ which we can also write as} \tag{3.13}$$

$$\int_{[0,\pi]} \cos(u)\, \mu(du) \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_{[0,\pi]} \cos(u)\, \mu(du) \leq 0$$

in order to apply again [Win88], Theorem 2.1. Then we obtain that the image of $V_{\mathcal{R}^0}(z_0)$ under the map $w \mapsto (1+w)/(1-w)$ is equal to the closure of the convex hull of the set $\{G_\mu(z_0) \mid \mu \in S, \mu \text{ satisfies (3.13)}\}$.
The proof of Theorem 3.8 shows that this set is equal to Δ_0. □

Corollary 3.10. Let $\mathcal{R}^{>} = \{f \in \mathcal{R}^{\geq} \mid f'(0) > 0\}$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $V_{\mathcal{R}^{>}}(z_0) = V_{\mathcal{R}^{\geq}}(z_0) \setminus C$, where C is the curve from Theorem 3.8.

Proof. Obviously, the curves A and B from Theorem 3.8 minus their endpoint z_0^2 and $-z_0^2$ belong to $V_{\mathcal{R}^{>}}(z_0)$. The curve C does not belong to the set $V_{\mathcal{R}^{>}}(z_0)$, but it belongs to its closure, which can be seen by approximating the curve by points from (3.9) for $\lambda = \frac{y-1/n}{y-x}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which means the integral in (3.6) is equal to $1/n$ in this case.
As $V_{\mathcal{R}^{>}}(z_0)$ is a convex set, we conclude that $V_{\mathcal{R}^{>}}(z_0)$ is equal to $V_{\mathcal{R}^{\geq}}(z_0) \setminus C$.

□

References

[Dur83] P. L. Duren, *Univalent functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[GB92] V. V. Goryainov and I. Ba, *Semigroup of conformal mappings of the upper half-plane into itself with hydrodynamic normalization at infinity*, Ukraine. Mat. Zh. **44** (1992), no. 10, 1320–1329.

[Gor86] V. V. Goryainov, *Semigroups of conformal mappings*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.), **129(171):4** (1986), 451– 472.

[Gor15] V. V. Goryainov, *Evolution families of conformal mappings with fixed points and the Löwner-Kufarev equation*, Mat. Sb., **206:1** (2015), 39 – 68.

[KS16] J. Koch and S. Schleißinger, *Value ranges of univalent self-mappings of the unit disc*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **433** (2016), no. 2, 1772–1789.

[Pfr16] D. Pfrang, *Der Wertebereich typisch-reeller schlichter beschränkter Funktionen*, Master thesis, University of Würzburg, 2016.

[Pro92] D. V. Prokhorov, *The set of values of bounded univalent functions with real coefficients*, Theory of functions and approximations, Part 1 (Russian) (Saratov, 1990), Izdat. Saratov. Univ. (1992), 56–60.

[PS16] D. V. Prokhorov and K. Samsonova, *A Description Method in the Value Region Problem*, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory (2016).

[Rob35] M. S. Robertson, *On the coefficients of a typically-real function*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., Volume 41, Number 8 (1935), 565–572.

[Rog32] W. Rogosinski, *Über positive harmonische Entwicklungen und typisch-reelle Potenzreihen.*, Math. Z. **35** (1932), 93–121.

[Rog34] W. Rogosinski, *Zum Schwarzschen Lemma.*, Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver. **44** (1934), 258–261.

[RS14] O. Roth and S. Schleißinger, *Rogosinski's lemma for univalent functions, hyperbolic Archimedean spirals and the Loewner equation*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **46** (2014), no. 5, 1099–1109.

[SS82] M. Szapiel and W. Szapiel, *Extreme points of convex sets. IV. Bounded typically real functions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. **30** (1982), no. 1-2, 49-57.

[Tam78] O. Tammi, *Extremum Problems for Bounded Univalent Functions*, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1978.

[Win88] G. Winkler, *Extreme points of moment sets*, Math. Oper. Res. **13** (1988), no. 4, 581–587.